Arizona Power Authority

Update to credit analysis

Summary
Arizona Power Authority's (APA, Aa1 stable) credit profile reflects the diverse group of 63 wholesale customers that are mandated to pay for all of APA's operating and capital costs under take-or-pay contracts that expire in 2067. APA's customer composition is anchored by Arizona-based Salt River Agricultural Improvement and Power District (SRP, Aa1 stable) and Central Arizona Water Conservation District (CAWCD, Aa2 stable), which compose approximately 50% of the authority's take-or-pay demand allocation obligation, and generally the same amount of APA's annual energy output. The authority has a strategic position as the sole entity responsible for acquiring and marketing Arizona's allocation of power generated from the Hoover Dam (Hoover Power), in addition to the low cost structure that results from the Hoover Dam's unique hydroelectric system, which resulted in an all-in power cost of $28.47/MWh in 2021.

The credit profile also reflects the authority's strong rate setting record, low carbon transition exposure, consistently adequate financial performance and the quick ramp-up ability of the Hoover Dam's power generation, which is important to a regional power grid that is more reliant on intermittent renewable resources. Further, APA's outstanding debt matures in 2045, well before the October 2067 termination date for APA's current take-or-pay agreements for the power produced by the Hoover Dam, which has been formally confirmed by the Western Area Power Administration (WAPA), the federal agency tasked with marketing Hoover Power.

Exhibit 1
Risk that the Hoover Dam cannot generate power if Lake Mead water level drops below 950 feet is substantially mitigated by APA's take-or-pay contractual structure that provides for full cost recovery from its customers

Improvements have been made such that the minimum level of Lake Mead elevation for power generation has been reduced to 950 feet, from the previous 1,050 foot level
Source: Arizona Power Authority, Moody’s Investors Service, University of Arizona Water Resources Research Center
Credit strengths
» APA's take-or-pay contractual structure substantially mitigates hydrology and demand risk, a key credit consideration given the current severe drought conditions
» Strength of the take-or-pay wholesale supply contracts that are buttressed by highly-rated customers SRP and CAWCD
» APA's entitlement to Hoover Power extends through 2067, 22 years after maturity of the outstanding debt
» Hoover Power is favorably priced compared with regional spot market alternatives even during persistent drought conditions, with an all-in cost ranging between $28-35/MWh for carbon free power over the past 5 years
» Highly-rated SRP has historically purchased the bulk of excess Hoover Power that has been made available
» Hoover’s quick ramp-up capability (100 MWs per minute) is becoming increasingly valuable in light of growing intermittency in the southwest power marketplace, being driven by base load plant retirements and increasing intermittent renewable resources
» Low carbon transition exposure

Credit challenges
» Drought conditions that have reduced water levels in Lake Mead could impact the plant’s ability to produce favorably priced power as fixed costs are spread across reduced water throughput from Lake Mead into the Colorado River
» General customer concentration in Arizona irrigation and water conservation districts could impact the authority during a stressed environment for the agribusiness sector

Rating outlook
The stable outlook reflects our view that the authority will maintain steady financial performance, consistent with its historical track record, while continuing to provide competitively priced power to its wholesale customers.

Factors that could lead to an upgrade
» Limited prospects exist for further upward rating pressure given the Aa1 rating

Factors that could lead to a downgrade
» Severe curtailment of Hoover hydroelectric production due to drought or other conditions
» Material increase in APA’s leverage or the price of Hoover Power
» A fundamental downturn in the Arizona agribusiness sector
» Decline in the credit quality of either SRP or CAWCD, the two largest take-or-pay obligation customers

This publication does not announce a credit rating action. For any credit ratings referenced in this publication, please see the ratings tab on the issuer/entity page on www.moodys.com for the most updated credit rating action information and rating history.
Key indicators

Exhibit 2

Key indicators

Arizona Power Authority

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2016</th>
<th>2017</th>
<th>2018</th>
<th>2019</th>
<th>2020</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Debt Outstanding ($'000)</td>
<td>38,690</td>
<td>32,785</td>
<td>26,565</td>
<td>26,025</td>
<td>25,475</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adjusted Debt Ratio (%)</td>
<td>93.7</td>
<td>92.5</td>
<td>93.3</td>
<td>85.8</td>
<td>86.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adjusted Days Liquidity on Hand (incl. Bank Lines)(days)</td>
<td>269</td>
<td>168</td>
<td>139</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>108</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adjusted Debt Service Coverage(x)(Post Transfers/PILOTs-All Debt)</td>
<td>1.42</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.08</td>
<td>1.03</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The take-or-pay contractual structure went into effect in 2017, whereby all costs are recoverable from the 63 wholesale customers.

Source: Moody’s Investors Service, Arizona Power Authority Financial Statements

Profile

Arizona Power Authority is a state agency responsible for the acquisition and marketing of Arizona’s approximately 19% share of the electrical output from the federally owned and operated Hoover Dam power plant. The authority has been acting in this capacity since 1952. The Hoover Power Allocation Act of 2011 extended APA’s entitlement to capacity and energy produced by the Hoover Dam through September 2067. APA is a not-for-profit entity with no taxing authority, however per state statutes, APA is required to set rates at levels sufficient to recover its total costs. The authority currently has 63 customers.

Detailed credit considerations

Revenue Generating Base - Take-or-pay power sales contracts provide for full cost recovery

APA’s take-or-pay contractual structure and diverse customer base significantly reduces hydrology risk owing to the drought. APA, as a not-for-profit wholesale electricity supplier, is able to pass along the benefits of Arizona’s low-cost Hoover Power allocation to its wholesale contract customers in Arizona. APA has 63 customers with unregulated rate setting ability, with a majority of customers being relatively small, unrated Arizona irrigation districts and municipal utilities. However, highly-rated SRP, which is the Hoover Power scheduling agent for many wholesale customers, has historically purchased the bulk of excess Hoover Power that has been made available.

The Colorado River basin has been experiencing a severe drought for the past 21 years, which has resulted in a reduction in Lake Mead’s water elevation level from its maximum capacity of 1,250 feet in 2000, to currently around 1,050 feet. These drought conditions are resulting in a reduction of water throughput into the Colorado River from Lake Mead, which will result in increased costs for APA, as Hoover Power fixed costs are spread over less MWhs of energy generated. As a result, the 2022 composite rate is anticipated to increase from $28.47 in fiscal 2021 to $30.38 due to an anticipated reduction of 69,798 MWh of energy generated by the Hoover Dam, in addition to a $1.5 million increase in the WAPA base charge. These cost increases are fully recoverable from APA’s 63 wholesale customers.

APA’s top two customers for Hoover Power on both a GWh-sold energy basis and take-or-pay demand allocation basis, SRP and CAWCD, are both Aa-rated counterparties. Combined, SRP and CAWCD account for close to one-half of the take-or-pay demand allocation obligation. APA’s customer base is relatively small, unrated Arizona irrigation districts and municipal utilities. However, highly-rated SRP, which is the Hoover Power scheduling agent for many wholesale customers, has historically purchased the bulk of excess Hoover Power that has been made available.

Moreover, power from the authority generally represents APA’s customers’ lowest power cost option, as evidenced by the fact that APA has historically had little problem finding willing buyers of Hoover Power while operating under the previous take-and-pay contractual framework before 2017. Historically, SRP has purchased the bulk of any excess Hoover Power that has been made available and we believe this would continue to be the case in the unlikely event that a customer becomes unable to meet their take-or-pay contractual obligation. The Hoover Power marketing authorities, which includes APA, along with various other California and Nevada entities that have been granted an allocation of Hoover Power, must sell the power on a not-for-profit basis.
**Financial Operations and Position - Take-or-pay power sales contracts provide for minimum of 1.0x debt service coverage**

APA's consistently thin debt service coverage margins, on a net revenue basis, are offset by the authority's unique, low-cost, low-risk business profile. In fiscal 2020, Moody's calculated net revenue DSCR was 1.03x, reflecting APA's obligation to set rates at levels sufficient to recover the authority's total costs, in addition to the customers' take-or-pay obligation to cover all of APA's operating and debt service costs. Due to APA's not-for-profit nature and its ability for full cost recovery, the authority's debt service coverage ratio is intentionally kept at a minimum.

Historically, APA's management and board have been effective at keeping the authority's operating costs in check, while frequently revisiting the rates charged to customers to ensure the adequate recovery of total costs. The authority is able to pass-through cost increases on a monthly basis, and a minimum 24-hour notice is required for any rate changes, in the most extreme scenario.

**Liquidity**

The authority's liquidity position is adequate given APA's take-or-pay contractual structure ensures that all of APA's costs are recovered by customers. At fiscal year-end 2020, the authority had 108 days cash on hand, which is 19% lower than the fiscal 2018-2020 average of 133 days. Despite APA's reduced need for a large liquidity balance since entering into the take-or-pay contractual structure in 2017, the authority's cash to debt remained strong at 43.8% in fiscal 2020. At this time no capital expenditures are planned for the next 5 years.

**Debt and Other Liabilities**

As of September 30, 2020, the authority had a total debt outstanding of $25.5 million. APA's debt leverage position, as measured by Moody's adjusted debt ratio, is analytically overstated as APA's financial statements do not currently account for the value of APA's roughly 19% entitlement to Hoover Power as a capital asset, along with the market value of the Hoover Dam's capital asset value. Despite this dynamic, APA's fiscal 2020 adjusted debt ratio was manageable at 86.5% and we anticipate leverage will decrease over time, as no new debt issuance is anticipated and outstanding principal will amortize.

**Legal security**

The authority's outstanding bonds are payable solely from net revenues derived from APA's sale of power generated by the Hoover power plant. Those sales are made under take-or-pay power sales contracts (which are authorized under Arizona law) with 63 Arizona irrigation districts and municipal utilities, which constitute APA's contract customers until contract expiration in 2067.

The revenue pledge extends to replacement contracts as well as any other revenue generated from the sale of Hoover Power. APA has a cash funded debt service reserve fund equal to maximum annual debt service, and an additional bonds test of one times historical (12 out of the past 24 months) and future (five years) debt service coverage. APA's obligation to pay WAPA for the costs associated with the Hoover Dam is on a take-or-pay basis.

In the event the revenues generated by WAPA's sale of power was insufficient to cover the federal Bureau of Reclamation's costs of operating the dam, APA and the other Hoover contractors would remain obligated to pay their proportionate share of these costs. This risk is mitigated by both the take-or-pay contractual structure and the engineering and construction of the dam, which includes 17 separate generation units relying on the natural flow of water through the Colorado River basin for the production of power. The Hoover Dam power plant has been in continuous operation since the first generating units were installed over 80 years ago.

**Debt structure**

The authority's debt structure is fixed rate, with a level debt service schedule for most of the life of the bonds. The only debt outstanding, the Series 2014 revenue bonds, mature in 2045 and annual debt service requirements total roughly $1.8 million from fiscal 2021 to maturity.

**Debt-related derivatives**

None.

**Pensions and OPEB**

The authority contributes to the Arizona State Retirement System (ASRS), which administers a cost-sharing, multiple-employer defined benefit pension plan. At fiscal year-end 2020, APA's proportional net pension liability was $1.019 million. Based on our calculations, the authority's Adjusted Net Pension Liability (ANPL) was $3.254 million in fiscal year 2020. Given the take-or-pay contractual structure,
any increased future pension contributions would be considered operating expenses and would be paid by the authority's take-or-pay customers.

**ESG considerations**

**Environmental**
The public power electric utilities with generation ownership exposure sector continues to face increasingly stringent environmental mandates which require compliance with various laws and regulations. Compliance with these mandates can be costly and violations could subject the utilities to substantial liabilities, as well as damage its reputation. Environmental regulations and the mix of generation sources, as well as the utilities’ ability to recover related costs can vary by entity. Restrictions on air pollutants and carbon emissions and the extent to which the utility can cover such costs might play a role can affect the credit quality of sector participants, particularly those dependent upon coal-fired generation. That said, given the non-carbon emitting attributes of Hoover Power, we consider the environmental risk to be low.

**Social**
The US public power electric utilities with generation ownership sector has moderate social risks. While certain specific social risks may be considered high, the extent of the risk and its impact on the credit profile is mitigated through extensive internal and external controls, regulations and legislation. The safety and reliability of essential utility services are extremely important to customers and is a key focus of the sector. We do not see any significant social risk for APA other than the ongoing coronavirus, which has been manageable as the utility has not seen an increase in overdue payments.

**Governance**
The authority is run by a 5 commissioner board. Each commissioner is appointed by the Arizona governor to serve 6 year nonconcurrent terms. The commission determines rate adjustments without any other approval process, and monitors revenue requirements on a monthly basis.
Rating methodology and scorecard factors

The APA scorecard indicated outcome is Aa2, one notch below APA’s Aa1 rating. This difference reflects APA’s adjusted debt ratio, which is analytically overstated as the authority’s primary asset, its entitlement to power from the Hoover Dam capital asset, does not appear on APA’s balance sheet.

The scorecard grid is a reference tool that can be used to approximate credit profiles in the public power electric utility sector in most cases. However, the grid is a summary that does not include every rating consideration. Please see the US Public Power Electric Utilities with Generation Ownership Exposure Methodology published in August 2019 for more information about the limitations inherent to grids.

Exhibit 3
Arizona Power Authority Scorecard

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factor</th>
<th>Subfactor</th>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Metric</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Cost Recovery Framework Within Service Territory</td>
<td></td>
<td>Aaa</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Willingness and Ability to Recover Costs with Sound Financial Metrics</td>
<td></td>
<td>Aaa</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Generation and Power Procurement Risk Exposure</td>
<td></td>
<td>Aa</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Competitiveness</td>
<td>Rate Competitiveness</td>
<td>Aaa</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Financial Strength and Liquidity</td>
<td>a) Adjusted days liquidity on hand (3-year avg) (days)</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>133</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>b) Debt ratio (3-year avg) (%)</td>
<td>Baa</td>
<td>88.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>c) Adjusted Debt Service Coverage or Fixed Obligation Charge Coverage (3-year avg) (x)</td>
<td>Ba</td>
<td>1.04</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Preliminary Grid outcome rating from Grid factors 1-5 | Aa3 |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Notch</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6. Operational Considerations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Debt Structure and Reserves</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Revenue Stability and Diversity</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Grid Indicated Outcome: Aa2

Source: Moody’s Investors Service
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